

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,534		01/16/2001	Hiroshi Ishizuka	1081.1103 (JDH)	2120	
21171	7590	04/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				GART, MA	GART, MATTHEW S	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		C 20005		3625		
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N
- 1

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/759,534	ISHIZUKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew s Gart	3625		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

J	ld	ι	u	5

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
·=	tion is non-final. except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,12,14,16,20 and 22-25 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,12,14,16,20 and 22-25 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elements.	from consideration.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have a copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (Fig. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have a copies of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application for a list of the priority application for a list of the priority documents have a copies of the priority application for a list of the priority application for a list of the priority application for a list of the priority application for a l	ave been received. ave been received in Application No documents have been received in this National Stage PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/10/2005 has been entered.

Prosecution Summary

Claims 1, 2, 5-11, 13, 15, 17-19 and 21 have been canceled via the applicant's amendment filed 7/19/2004. New claims 23 and 24 have been added via the applicant's amendment filed 7/19/2004. New claim 25 has been added via the applicant's amendment filed 1/10/2005. Claims 3-4, 12, 14, 16, 20 and 22-25 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 3, 16, 20 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lynch U.S. Patent No. 5,708,798.

Referring to claim 3. Lynch discloses a commodity retrieval method in a commodity sale transaction via a network, the method comprising the steps of:

- Displaying items for a plurality of objects of use of commodities on a user terminal (Abstract, "To configure a system, the present invention accepts input in the form of requests or needs, such as an expression of a need for a desktop computer system to be used in a CAD environment.");
- Preparing a table indicative of correspondence between the objects of use and specifications required to attain the commodities (column 5, line 51 through column 7, line 41);
- Acquiring a specification corresponding to at least one object of use selected by a user via the terminal from the table (column 5, line 51 through column 7, line 41);
- Retrieving the commodities based on acquired specification as a retrieval condition (column 5, lines 42-50); and

Displaying, on the terminal (Figure 11), information on a commodity having specifications corresponding to the selected object of use (Figure 12(1), Step 608) without requiring the user's knowledge of specifications corresponding to the at least one object of use by the user (column 5, lines 42-50).

To configure a system, Lynch accepts input in the form of requests (e.g., component or resource) or needs, such as an expression of a need for a desktop computer system to be used in a CAD (i.e., computer-aided design) environment. Using this information, the present invention configures a system by identifying the resource and component needs, constraints imposed on or by the resources or components identified, and the structural aspects of the system. In Lynch the user might only have information on his/her need, and not necessarily any knowledge of specifications.

Referring to claim 16. Claim 16 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 3.

Referring to claim 20. Claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 3.

Referring to claim 22. Claim 22 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 3.

Referring to claim 23. Claim 23 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,534 Page 5

Art Unit: 3625

Referring to claim 24. Claim 24 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 3.

Referring to claim 25. Claim 25 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lynch U.S. Patent No. 5,708,798 in view of Henson U.S. Patent No. 6,167,383.

Referring to claim 4. Lynch discloses a method according to claim 1 as indicated supra. Lynch does not expressly disclose a commodity retrieval method wherein the network is Internet. Henson discloses a commodity retrieval method wherein the network is Internet (Fig. 2). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Lynch to have included the teachings of Henson as discussed above because the capability to self-select system options and then price them was afforded through the presence of an online (Internet Based) configurator (Henson: column 2, lines 5-26).

Referring to claim 12. Claim 12 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 3-4.

Referring to claim 14. Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 3-4.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/19/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant notes that Lynch requires the user to input an object of use or need specifying the components needed to achieve the user's object of use or a need specifying the components needed to achieve the user's object of use and thus, to have knowledge of the capabilities of the components so that Lynch defines other components as parts and products for setting up a system. The applicant further notes that the instant invention's commodity retrieval method and system provides respective object of use of commodities to allow a user without knowledge of the characteristics of the commodities to select therefrom.

The Examiner notes, to configure a system, Lynch accepts input in the form of requests (e.g., component or resource) or needs, such as an expression of a need for a desktop computer system to be used in a CAD (i.e., computer-aided design) environment. Using this information, the present invention configures a system by identifying the resource and component needs, constraints imposed on or by the resources or components identified, and the structural aspects of the system. In Lynch the user might only have information on his/her need, and not necessarily any knowledge of specifications.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew s Gart whose telephone number is 703-305-5355. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM to 5:00PM m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wynn Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSG

Patent Examiner March 25, 2005